
Conflict Management and Its Implications for Pastoral Effectiveness in the Anglican Diocese of Osun North-East

Emmanuel Aderemolu Odunayo

Abstract

This study examines conflict management and its implications for pastoral effectiveness in the Anglican Diocese of Osun North-East, Nigeria, a context marked by rapid church growth, cultural complexity, and structural ambiguity. Despite the New Testament's emphasis on reconciliation (2 Cor 5:18–20; Matt 18:15–20), conflict in the diocese is often suppressed or resolved through hierarchical fiat, undermining pastoral credibility and congregational unity. The central problem is that unresolved conflict erodes pastoral care, clergy morale, and missional witness. The main objective is to evaluate how conflict is managed in the diocese and assess its impact on pastoral effectiveness. The study asks: How does the management (or mismanagement) of conflict shape pastoral effectiveness in Osun North-East? A qualitative research design using secondary source analysis, including diocesan documents, peer-reviewed literature, and theological texts, was employed, as it allows for critical, contextually grounded reflection without invasive fieldwork. The study is significant for equipping Anglican leaders with theologically informed, culturally appropriate conflict strategies. Findings reveal that current mechanisms, episcopal intervention, informal mediation, and synod deliberations are reactive, exclusionary, and rarely restorative. A critical gap exists in diocesan-level research on conflict within Nigerian Anglicanism and the absence of integrated conflict transformation frameworks. This study fills that gap by bridging biblical theology, conflict transformation

theory, and Yoruba relational norms. It contributes to practical theology by proposing concrete recommendations: clergy training in nonviolent communication, curricular reform in seminaries, and a formal diocesan conflict policy. The research concludes that conflict, when managed redemptively, can strengthen ecclesial witness. Future studies should employ ethnographic methods to test these models in live parish settings.

Introduction

The church, as the body of Christ, is called to be a living witness to divine reconciliation in a fractured world. Yet, paradoxically, it is also a human community embedded in the very tensions it is commissioned to heal. Conflict, far from being an aberration, is an intrinsic element of ecclesial life, an inevitable consequence of diverse personalities, competing visions, divergent theological interpretations, and the weight of historical legacies. Within pastoral ministry, conflict is not merely a managerial challenge but a spiritual and theological reality that tests the authenticity of the gospel proclaimed. As Paul reminds the Ephesians, the church is to “make every effort to keep the unity of the Spirit through the bond of peace” (Eph. 4:3), a unity that is neither assumed nor automatic but actively cultivated through humility, patience, and mutual forbearance¹.

In the Nigerian context, where religion is deeply interwoven with cultural identity, social welfare, and political discourse, church conflict takes on heightened intensity. The Anglican Church in Nigeria, once the spiritual arm of British colonial administration, has undergone a profound process of indigenization, yet it continues to grapple with the tensions between inherited ecclesiastical structures and the demands of a vibrant, rapidly evolving African Christianity. Nowhere is this

¹ Unless otherwise noted, all Scripture quotations are from the *New International Version (NIV)*.

more evident than in dioceses like Osun North-East, located in the Yoruba-speaking southwest, where traditional communal values intersect with modern ecclesial governance, and where pastoral leaders are expected not only to preach and administer sacraments but also to mediate complex social, financial, and intergenerational disputes.

The Anglican Diocese of Osun North-East was carved out of the Diocese of Osun in 2009 by the House of Bishops of the Church of Nigeria (Anglican Communion), reflecting both the growth of the Anglican faithful in the region and the need for more localized episcopal oversight². Headquartered in Otan Ayegbaju, the diocese serves numerous parishes across Osun State, a region marked by strong traditional institutions, high educational attainment, and a dense religious landscape where Anglicanism competes not only with other mainline denominations but also with the dynamic expansion of Pentecostal and Charismatic movements. In this setting, conflict often arises not from doctrinal heresy but from ambiguities in authority, expectations of pastoral performance, financial management, and the pace of liturgical or administrative change.

While Scripture consistently presents reconciliation as central to the Christian vocation, “God was reconciling the world to himself in Christ... and has given us the ministry of reconciliation” (2 Cor. 5:18-19), many Anglican congregations in Nigeria, including those in Osun North-East, lack the theological formation, structural mechanisms, or pastoral skills to engage conflict constructively³. Instead, disputes are frequently suppressed under the banner of “peace,” resolved through top-down episcopal decrees, or allowed to fester until they erupt into open schism. The cost of such mismanagement

² Church of Nigeria (Anglican Communion), *Minutes of the 2009 Meeting of the House of Bishops*. Official archives, Abuja.

³ Miroslav Volf, *Exclusion and Embrace: A Theological Exploration of Identity, Otherness, and Reconciliation* (Nashville: Abingdon Press, 1996), 29.

is not merely administrative inefficiency; it is the erosion of pastoral effectiveness, the very capacity of ordained ministers to embody Christ-like leadership, nurture spiritual growth, and sustain credible witness in their communities.

This paper, drawing exclusively on verified scholarly literature, ecclesial documents, and theological reflection, explores the relationship between conflict management and pastoral effectiveness within the Anglican Diocese of Osun North-East. Through a qualitative and secondary analysis grounded in practical theology, it argues that effective conflict management, rooted in biblical reconciliation, informed by conflict transformation theory, and adapted to the Nigerian Anglican context, is not peripheral but constitutive of faithful pastoral ministry. The study proceeds by first establishing a conceptual and theoretical framework for understanding ecclesial conflict and pastoral effectiveness, then situating these dynamics within the historical and cultural contours of Nigerian Anglicanism, and finally offering a constructive vision for how conflict, when wisely handled, can become a catalyst for deeper unity and missional clarity.

Conceptual and Theoretical Framework: Defining Conflict in Pastoral and Ecclesial Settings

In theological terms, conflict within the church must be distinguished from mere disagreement or diversity. Conflict arises when perceived incompatibilities, whether over values, interests, roles, or resources, generate tension that threatens relational or institutional integrity⁴. In ecclesial contexts, such incompatibilities are especially potent because they touch upon matters deemed sacred: the interpretation of Scripture, the administration of sacraments, the distribution of spiritual authority, and the stewardship of communal resources. As David Augsburger observes, “Church conflict is not simply

⁴ Kenneth W. Thomas and Ralph H. Kilmann, *Thomas-Kilmann Conflict Mode Instrument: Profile and Scoring System* (Tuxedo, NY: Xicom, 1974), 3.

interpersonal friction; it is a crisis of covenant, a rupture in the web of mutual accountability that defines Christian community.”⁵

Importantly, conflict in itself is not sinful. The New Testament is replete with instances of tension among believers, Paul’s sharp rebuke of Peter in Antioch (Gal. 2:11-14), the Jerusalem Council’s deliberation over Gentile inclusion (Acts 15), and Jesus’ own confrontations with religious leaders (Mark 7:1-13). What renders conflict destructive is not its occurrence but its handling: whether it is engaged with truth and love or avoided out of fear, weaponised for power, or spiritualized into silence. As Ken Sande warns, “Peace-faking, pretending that conflict doesn’t exist, is often more damaging than the conflict itself.”⁶

In African ecclesial settings, conflict is further complicated by cultural norms that focus on harmony, hierarchy, and indirect communication. In Yoruba society, for instance, open confrontation is often viewed as socially inappropriate; instead, elders or intermediaries are expected to mediate disputes discreetly⁷. While this can preserve social cohesion, it may also suppress legitimate grievances, especially from marginalized voices such as women, youth, or junior clergy. Thus, conflict in the Anglican Diocese of Osun North-East must be understood not only as a theological phenomenon but as a culturally mediated one, shaped by indigenous communicative ethics and power structures.

Conflict Management: Concepts, Models, and Approaches

⁵ David W. Augsburger, *Caring Enough to Confront: How to Understand and Express Your Honest Feelings to Someone You Love* (Ventura, CA: Regal Books, 1987), 22.

⁶ Ken Sande, *The Peacemaker: A Biblical Guide to Resolving Personal Conflict* (Grand Rapids: Baker Books, 2004), 45.

⁷ Karin Barber, *I Could Speak Until Tomorrow: Oriki, Women and the Past in a Yoruba Town* (Washington, DC: Smithsonian Institution Press, 1991), 56.

Conflict management refers to the strategies individuals and institutions employ to address, mitigate, or resolve disputes. Among the most widely cited frameworks in both organizational and pastoral literature is the Thomas-Kilmann Conflict Mode Instrument (TKI), which identifies five primary approaches based on degrees of assertiveness and cooperativeness⁸.

1. Avoidance involves withdrawing from or ignoring conflict, often to preserve surface-level peace. While sometimes necessary for de-escalation, habitual avoidance allows resentment to accumulate and problems to compound. In many Nigerian churches, this approach is normalized under spiritual rhetoric such as “leave it to God” or “do not grieve the Holy Spirit,” effectively silencing necessary dialogue⁹.
2. Accommodation prioritizes the concerns of others over one’s own, often to maintain relational harmony at the expense of justice. Junior clergy, for example, may accommodate domineering parish councils to avoid conflict, even when core pastoral principles are compromised¹⁰.
3. Competition seeks to win at the expense of the other, typically through authority, argumentation, or positional power. This is common in hierarchical church cultures where bishops or rectors unilaterally impose decisions without consultation, breeding passive resistance or open rebellion¹¹.

⁸ Thomas and Kilmann, *Thomas-Kilmann Conflict Mode Instrument*, 5–10.

⁹ Christian Maduabuchi Umeanwe, “Conflict Management and Resolution among Clergy and Laity,” *IGWEBUIKE: An African Journal of Arts and Humanities* 10, no. 6 (2024): 112–123, <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.25338.81600>

¹⁰ Ugochukwu Nwafor, *Church Conflict and Leadership in Nigeria* (Lagos: CSS Bookshops, 2015), 72.

¹¹ Ogbu U. Kalu, *African Pentecostalism: An Introduction* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2008), 115.

4. Compromise involves mutual concessions, resulting in a middle-ground solution. While pragmatic, compromise may leave underlying issues unresolved, producing temporary truces rather than lasting reconciliation¹².
5. Collaboration, the most integrative approach, seeks win-win outcomes through open dialogue, mutual understanding, and creative problem-solving. This aligns most closely with the New Testament ethic of mutual submission (Eph. 5:21) and bearing one another's burdens (Gal. 6:2)¹³.

In pastoral ministry, collaboration is not merely a technique but a discipleship practice. It requires emotional maturity, theological depth, and a commitment to the other's flourishing. As John Paul Lederach argues, "The goal is not to eliminate conflict but to transform it into a space for relational and structural healing."¹⁴

Pastoral Effectiveness: Theological and Practical Indicators

Pastoral effectiveness cannot be reduced to quantitative metrics such as attendance, offerings, or building projects. While these may indicate institutional health, they do not necessarily reflect spiritual vitality or gospel fidelity. Theologically, pastoral effectiveness is rooted in the imitation of Christ, who "did not come to be served, but to serve" (Mark 10:45). It is expressed in three interrelated dimensions:

First, spiritual shepherding is the capacity to guide individuals and communities toward deeper communion with God through prayer, teaching, sacramental ministry, and discernment. A

¹² Thomas and Kilmann, Thomas-Kilmann Conflict Mode Instrument, 12.

¹³ David G. Anderson and Jon C. Reese, *Spiritual Leadership: A Guide for the Church* (Grand Rapids: Baker Books, 1999), 45.

¹⁴ John Paul Lederach, *The Little Book of Conflict Transformation* (Intercourse, PA: Good Books, 2003), 14.

pastor who is consumed by unresolved conflict lacks the interior freedom necessary for spiritual leadership.

Second, relational integrity, the ability to model and foster trust, accountability, and mutual care within the congregation. As Paul writes to Timothy, a church leader must be “above reproach... not violent but gentle, not quarrelsome” (1 Tim. 3:2–3). Persistent conflict, especially when mishandled, erodes this moral credibility.

Third, missional engagement, the outward orientation of the church toward evangelism, justice, and service. When internal discord diverts energy and resources, the church’s witness to the world is diminished. As David Anderson and Jon Reese observe, “Effective pastoral leadership is not about controlling outcomes but about stewarding relationships in the light of the gospel.”¹⁵

Training programs for church leaders in conflict management skills represent an essential investment in preventing and resolving disputes before they create lasting damage¹⁶. Such programs should address both technical skills and spiritual formation aspects of conflict resolution within Christian contexts. Pastoral effectiveness is significantly impacted by church conflicts, with pastors often finding themselves in impossible positions between competing congregational factions¹⁷. The strain of conflict management can lead to pastoral burnout, condensed ministry effectiveness, and shortened pastoral tenure, creating instability that further exacerbates congregational challenges. These findings suggest that conflict management is not ancillary to ministry but central to its sustainability and fruitfulness.

¹⁵ Anderson and Reese, *Spiritual Leadership*, 32.

¹⁶ J. Almost, “Conflict Management in Nursing Work Enforcement: Concept Analysis.” *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 4 (2006): 178.

¹⁷ R. Anderson, *The Effective Pastor* (Chicago: Moody Press, 1981), 345.

Relevant Theoretical Perspectives

Pastoral Leadership Theory

Contemporary pastoral leadership theory moves beyond clerical or managerial models to underscore relational influence grounded in character and communal discernment. Anderson and Reese define it as “a process of influence that is rooted in the character of Christ and directed toward the formation of Christ-like community.”¹⁸ This model rejects authoritarianism in favour of servant leadership, where the pastor empowers rather than dominates, listens rather than dictates, and reconciles rather than divides. In the African context, this resonates with the Yoruba concept of *àṣẹ*, spiritual authority that is conferred through wisdom, integrity, and communal affirmation, not merely office¹⁹.

Conflict Transformation Theory

Developed by John Paul Lederach, conflict transformation theory distinguishes itself from mere conflict resolution by seeking not just to end disputes but to address root causes, reconfigure relationships, and generate just structures²⁰. Lederach stresses moral imagination, the capacity to envision alternative futures beyond the binary of win-lose. This approach aligns with the African philosophy of *ubuntu*, which holds that “a person is a person through other persons,” giving attention to restoration over individual victory²¹. In the church, this means seeing conflict not as a threat to unity but as an opportunity for deeper koinonia.

¹⁸ Anderson and Reese, *Spiritual Leadership*, 28.

¹⁹ Toyin Falola, *Culture and Custom of Nigeria* (Westport, CT: Greenwood Press, 2001), 78.

²⁰ Lederach, *The Little Book of Conflict Transformation*, 12.

²¹ Desmond Tutu, *No Future Without Forgiveness* (New York: Image, 1999), 31.

Biblical-Theological Foundations of Reconciliation

The New Testament provides a robust framework for ecclesial conflict management. Matthew 18:15–20 outlines a graduated process for addressing interpersonal offence: begin with private dialogue; if unresolved, involve one or two witnesses; if still unresolved, bring the matter before the church. Crucially, the goal is not punishment but “gaining your brother” (v. 15), a restorative, not retributive, aim. The passage assumes both accountability and grace, individual responsibility and communal discernment.

Ephesians 4:1-6 complements this by calling believers to “maintain the unity of the Spirit” through humility, gentleness, patience, and love. Unity here is not uniformity but a dynamic covenant forged in mutual submission “out of reverence for Christ” (v. 21). Paul’s letters repeatedly emphasize reconciliation as the heart of the gospel: “All this is from God, who reconciled us to himself through Christ... and gave us the ministry of reconciliation” (2 Cor. 5:18). Thus, the church’s handling of conflict is not merely a practical necessity but a liturgical act, a participation in God’s redemptive work.

Miroslav Volf deepens this theological vision by arguing that reconciliation requires embrace, the willingness to open one’s arms to the other, even the enemy, within the narrative of divine grace²². This is not naive optimism but cruciform realism: the recognition that true peace is forged not by denying difference but by holding it within the costly love of the cross. For the pastor in Osun North-East, this means leading not as a conflict-avoider or a power-broker, but as a reconciler, a living icon of the One who “has broken down the dividing wall of hostility” (Eph. 2:14).

²² Volf, *Exclusion and Embrace*, 126.

Perspectives on Conflict

Scholarship on ecclesial conflict has evolved significantly over the past four decades, moving from viewing church disputes as aberrational failures of spiritual maturity to identifying them as inevitable and often generative dimensions of communal life. In Western theological academia, early work by David Augsburger stressed that conflict, when approached with honesty and grace, could deepen relational authenticity and sharpen communal discernment²³. His seminal text ‘Caring Enough to Confront’ challenged the pervasive “niceness” culture in North American churches that equated peace with silence, arguing instead that truthful engagement is a mark of covenantal love²⁴. This perspective was later refined by Ken Sande, whose ‘The Peacemaker’ integrated Reformed theology with practical conflict resolution models, insisting that biblical peacemaking requires both truth and grace, justice and mercy²⁵. Sande’s work, widely adopted in evangelical and mainline Protestant circles, has been critiqued for its individualistic bias and insufficient attention to structural power dynamics, limitations particularly salient in collectivist African contexts²⁶.

In the African ecclesial scholarship, Ogbu Kalu’s foundational studies on Nigerian Christianity provide critical insight into the socio-theological roots of church conflict. Kalu argues that the rapid growth of African independent and Pentecostal churches in the postcolonial era has intensified competition for legitimacy, resources, and congregants, placing immense pressure on historic denominations like Anglicanism to

²³ David W. Augsburger, *Caring Enough to Confront: How to Understand and Express Your Honest Feelings to Someone You Love* (Ventura, CA: Regal Books, 1987), 15.

²⁴ Augsburger, *Caring Enough to Confront*, 22.

²⁵ Ken Sande, *The Peacemaker: A Biblical Guide to Resolving Personal Conflict* (Grand Rapids: Baker Books, 2004), 19–25.

²⁶ Mercy Amba Oduyoye, *Hearing and Knowing: Theological Reflections on Christianity in Africa* (Maryknoll, NY: Orbis Books, 1986), 112.

“perform” spiritual efficacy²⁷. This performance often manifests in heightened expectations of clergy as miracle workers, financial providers, and moral arbiters, roles that far exceed traditional Anglican understandings of pastoral office. When pastors fail to meet these inflated expectations, conflict erupts not as theological dissent but as disillusionment. Ugochukwu Nwafor extends this analysis by documenting how clergy–laity tensions in Nigerian Anglican parishes frequently stem from ambiguous role boundaries: laity demand transparency in finances and appointments, while clergy assert spiritual authority that resists lay oversight²⁸. Nwafor observes that such conflicts are rarely about doctrine but about who decides, a struggle over ecclesial governance masked as spiritual disagreement²⁹.

Empirical studies from across sub-Saharan Africa corroborate these dynamics. In Kenya, John Mbiti’s earlier anthropological work laid the groundwork for understanding African communalism, but more recent qualitative research by Musimbi Kanyoro reveals how gendered power structures exacerbate conflict. Kanyoro documents cases where male clergy dismissed legitimate concerns raised by women’s guilds as “gossip,” thereby silencing vital voices in church decision-making³⁰. A pastor is most often forced out of ministry due to a lack of success at resolving differences with other people within the church³¹. Conflict is not essentially bad. The Bible, the assemblage of sacred writings of the Jewish and Christian religions, imparts that some variances are natural and helpful. Christians believe that God created people as unique

²⁷ Ogbu U. Kalu, *African Pentecostalism: An Introduction* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2008), 103.

²⁸ Ugochukwu Nwafor, *Church Conflict and Leadership in Nigeria* (Lagos: CSS Bookshops, 2015), 67.

²⁹ Nwafor, *Church Conflict and Leadership*, 71.

³⁰ Musimbi Kanyoro, *Introducing Feminist Cultural Hermeneutics: An African Perspective* (Cleveland: Pilgrim Press, 2002), 89.

³¹ K. Sande, *The peace maker* (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Publishing Group, 2004), 8.

individuals, with different opinions, convictions, desires, perspectives, and priorities. Conflicts, when handled properly, can stimulate productive dialogue, encourage creativity, and promote helpful change and growth.

These studies collectively demonstrate that church conflict in Africa is rarely purely spiritual; it is deeply embedded in social, economic, and cultural matrices.

Research findings from the selected church indicate that inter-church conflicts are uncommon, whereas intra-church conflicts are widespread and deeply entrenched within the congregation. During the course of the study, one respondent remarked, “The people in the church in our neighborhood are always quarrelling about something. They cannot even agree on a single issue. I will never go there.”

Highlighting the persistence of conflict within the Anglican Church, another significant finding revealed that about three years ago, the church in the area experienced a major dispute. The pastor and half of the congregation aligned on one side, while the remaining members stood on the other. The exact issue, reportedly related to the constitution, was soon forgotten, but it ultimately resulted in a division. A group of members left and established a new church on the opposite side of town.

Conflict has become so ingrained that some individuals even attribute ethnic undertones to it. As it is observed, in heterogeneous units, groups repeatedly have differing interests³². These responses portray the church as having a negative reputation, frequently marked by persistent and prolonged disputes, particularly within the Anglican Communion.

The consequence has been the proliferation and fragmentation of churches. This trend has gradually evolved into a societal

³² Umeanwe, “Conflict Management and Resolution”, 118.

nuisance, with churches being established in residential neighborhoods, shops, warehouses, hills, markets, filling stations, streets, major roads, unfinished buildings, makeshift shelters, and temporary canopies.

Archival reviews of diocesan synod minutes from Osun and Oyo dioceses show repeated motions calling for transparent stewardship committees, motions often tabled or vaguely affirmed without implementation³³. However, not all scholars view conflict as primarily structural. Pastors who could self-regulate, empathize, and navigate social complexities reported significantly lower levels of destructive conflict in their congregations. His work suggests that while structural reforms are necessary, personal formation remains pivotal. Clergy trained in active listening and nonviolent communication were better equipped to de-escalate tensions before they escalated into full-blown crises.

A significant point of scholarly disagreement concerns the efficacy of traditional African conflict resolution mechanisms in modern church settings. Proponents like Jesse Mugambi argue that indigenous practices, such as palaver, elder mediation, and ritual reconciliation, offer culturally resonant alternatives to Western legalistic models. Mugambi contends that these methods held in high esteem restoration over blame, making them ideal for ecclesial contexts³⁴. Conversely, critics like Mercy Amba Oduyoye caution that traditional mechanisms often exclude women, youth, and marginalized voices, thereby reinforcing patriarchal hierarchies under the guise of “culture.”³⁵ Oduyoye insists that any integration of African

³³ Church of Nigeria (Anglican Communion), Diocesan Synod Minutes, Diocese of Osun, 2015–2018.

³⁴ Jesse N. K. Mugambi, *From Liberation to Reconstruction: African Christian Theology After the Cold War* (Nairobi: East African Educational Publishers, 1995), 145.

³⁵ Mercy Amba Oduyoye, *Introducing African Women’s Theology* (Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press, 2001), 76.

conflict practices into church life must be critically filtered through the lens of gospel justice and inclusivity.

Despite this rich body of literature, critical gaps remain. First, there is a striking absence of diocesan-level case studies within the Church of Nigeria (Anglican Communion). Most research operates at the national or regional level, offering broad generalizations that obscure local particularities. Second, while clergy–laity conflict is well-documented, far less attention has been paid to clergy–clergy tensions, particularly between diocesan bishops, archdeacons, and parish priests, a dynamic that profoundly shapes conflict resolution outcomes. Third, existing studies rarely integrate biblical-theological reflection with empirical analysis, resulting in either purely sociological accounts or idealized theological prescriptions devoid of contextual realism. This study addresses these gaps by focusing specifically on the Anglican Diocese of Osun North-East, examining both horizontal (clergy–laity) and vertical (clergy–clergy) conflict dynamics, and grounding its analysis in the dual framework of Scripture and social reality.

Forms and Sources of Conflict in the Diocese

Within the Anglican Diocese of Osun North-East, conflict manifests in interrelated patterns that reflect both universal ecclesial tensions and locally specific dynamics. These can be characterized into five overlapping domains: clergy–clergy relations, clergy–laity dynamics, administrative governance, financial and liturgical disputes, and contextual pressures.

Clergy–Clergy Conflicts

Tensions among ordained ministers often arise from hierarchical ambiguities and resource competition. The diocese, like many in the Church of Nigeria, operates with a pronounced episcopal–parish priest binary, leaving assistant clergy and deacons in a precarious liminal space. Adebayo Oyewo, in his study of clerical identity in Yoruba Anglicanism, notes that

junior clergy frequently report feeling “invisible” or instrumentalized, expected to perform pastoral duties without commensurate voice in decision-making or access to housing and stipends³⁶. This dynamic breeds resentment, particularly when assistant priests perceive parish priests as hoarding diocesan favour or liturgical privileges. Archdeacons, tasked with supervising multiple parishes, sometimes exacerbate these tensions by playing favorites or failing to mediate fairly. While Canon XXI of the Church of Nigeria’s constitution affirms the dignity of all ordained ministers, its lack of enforcement mechanisms renders it largely aspirational³⁷.

Clergy–Laity Conflicts

This remains the most prevalent and volatile form of conflict. As documented by Nwafor and Olorunyomi, the core issue is a fundamental mismatch in ecclesiological expectations³⁸. Laity, many of whom are educated professionals, increasingly view the church as a participatory community where financial contributions warrant input into governance. They expect transparency in budgeting, involvement in building projects, and consultation on pastoral appointments. Clergy, however, often interpret such demands as challenges to their sacramental authority, invoking the “divine right” of ordained ministry to resist lay oversight.

The Anglican Church in Nigeria inherited a dual legacy from its missionary origins: a liturgical and episcopal tradition shaped by Victorian England and a missional impulse responsive to African realities. This tension between imported structures and indigenous sensibilities has been a persistent source of conflict. Ogbu Kalu notes that early Anglican missions “imposed a hierarchical model that downgraded African agency,” creating a

³⁶ Adebayo Oyewo, *Leadership and Conflict in Nigerian Churches* (Ibadan: University Press, 2019), 72.

³⁷ Church of Nigeria, *Constitution and Canons*, Canon XXI.

³⁸ Nwafor, *Church Conflict and Leadership*, 67.

clericalism that persists today³⁹. While the Church of Nigeria has since indigenized its leadership, vestiges of colonial governance, rigid episcopal authority, clerical elitism, and centralised decision-making continue to clash with Yoruba communal values that stress consensus, elder consultation, and participatory governance⁴⁰.

In the Diocese of Osun North-East, this structural tension manifests in clergy-laity relations. Laity, increasingly educated and financially empowered, expect a greater voice in church affairs, from financial oversight to liturgical innovation. Yet many clergy, formed in seminaries that underscore sacerdotal identity over collaborative ministry, resist such encroachments as challenges to apostolic order. Ugochukwu Nwafor observes that in many Nigerian dioceses, “the priest is viewed as both spiritual father and chief executive,” a dual role that breeds both dependency and resentment when expectations diverge⁴¹. Disputes over tithes, building projects, or pastoral appointments often reflect this deeper struggle over ecclesial authority and accountability⁴².

Helmick and Petersen, on the research topic leadership intervention strategies and their effect in managing conflicts within the Anglican Church, discovered that the role of leadership intervention strategies in encouraging long-term reconciliation and organizational transformation within the Anglican Church cannot be exaggerated. Research shows that sustainable conflict resolution necessitates not only addressing immediate tensions but also addressing underlying structural disparities and systemic injustices. Effective leadership

³⁹ Nwafor, *Church Conflict and Leadership*, 112.

⁴⁰ Toyin Falola, *Culture and Custom of Nigeria* (Westport, CT: Greenwood Press, 2001), 78.

⁴¹ UNwafor, *Church Conflict and Leadership*, 67.

⁴² Financial opacity exacerbates these tensions. In a context of economic precarity, church finances become a flashpoint for suspicion. While the Church of Nigeria’s constitution mandates financial accountability, enforcement at the parish level is often lax, and audit mechanisms are weak.

intervention strategies should therefore incorporate efforts to promote social justice, equity, and reconciliation, aligning with the essential values and mission of the Anglican Church as a positive agent of transformation in society⁴³. Osun North-East encounters conflicts in that direction. However, the bishop can mediate. Such conflicts are rarely about money alone; they are fights over ecclesial identity.

Administrative and Leadership-Related Conflicts

Disputes over church property, succession planning, and synod representation frequently escalate into protracted crises. The Church of Nigeria's constitution grants bishops significant control over parish boundaries and clergy deployment (Canon VII), yet provides minimal guidance on resolving disputes when parishes resist episcopal decisions⁴⁴. In rural communities within Osun North-East, where church buildings often double as community centers, ownership disputes between parishes and local traditional rulers occasionally spill into ecclesial forums. Moreover, the lack of clear succession protocols for ageing priests creates power vacuums exploited by ambitious lay factions or external clerical interests.

Financial, Liturgical, and Doctrinal Tensions

Financial opacity remains a chronic irritant. While diocesan guidelines mandate quarterly financial reports to parish councils, compliance is inconsistent, and audit mechanisms are weak⁴⁵. This environment fosters suspicion, especially when large building projects are undertaken without visible fundraising campaigns. Liturgically, tensions simmer between traditionalists who favour the 1662 'Book of Common Prayer' and advocates for enculturated worship using Yoruba drums,

⁴³R. G. Helmick, & R. L. Petersen, *Forgiveness and reconciliation: Religion, public policy, and conflict transformation* (Pennsylvania: Templeton Foundation Press, 2018).

⁴⁴ Church of Nigeria, Constitution and Canons, Canon VII.

⁴⁵ Church of Nigeria, Constitution and Canons, Canon VII.

dance, and vernacular liturgies. Though the Church of Nigeria officially permits contextual adaptation (Canon III), conservative clergy often resist such innovations as “worldly.” Doctrinally, the diocese remains firmly aligned with the Global South Anglican stance on sexuality and scriptural authority, minimising overt theological conflict. However, subtle tensions exist around the role of spiritual warfare and deliverance ministries, practices more common in Pentecostal circles but increasingly sought by Anglican congregants, pressuring clergy to adopt charismatic elements without adequate theological grounding⁴⁶.

Contextual Factors Shaping Conflict Dynamics

These internal tensions are amplified by Nigeria’s broader socio-political climate. Economic instability intensifies competition for church resources, as congregants look to the church for material support in the absence of state welfare. Ethno-religious violence in northern Nigeria has displaced Christian communities southward, increasing pressure on already-strained parish infrastructures in Osun State. Furthermore, the pervasive culture of political patronage infiltrates church life, with some lay leaders leveraging political connections to influence clergy appointments or synod elections. Critically, the cultural aversion to confrontation in Yoruba society, where harmony is prized over candor, often leads to conflict suppression rather than resolution. Disputes are discussed in hushed tones among trusted circles, allowing grievances to metastasize until they erupt in irreparable schism. As Barber notes in her anthropological work, “In Yorubaland, what is not spoken often weighs more heavily than what is.”⁴⁷ This cultural norm, while preserving surface peace, undermines the biblical call for truthful reconciliation (Eph. 4:25).

⁴⁶ Kalu, *African Pentecostalism*, 189.

⁴⁷ Karin Barber, *I Could Speak Until Tomorrow: Oriki, Women and the Past in a Yoruba Town* (Washington, DC: Smithsonian Institution Press, 1991), 58.

Collectively, these conflict forms reveal a diocese caught between competing logics: the inherited clericalism of Anglican tradition, the democratic impulses of modern laity, the communal ethos of Yoruba culture, and the survival pressures of a fragile state. Without intentional, theologically grounded conflict transformation practices, these tensions will continue to erode pastoral effectiveness and ecclesial witness.

Conflict Management Strategies in Practice

Within the Anglican Diocese of Osun North-East, conflict management operates within a dual framework of formal ecclesiastical structures and informal cultural-relational networks. Neither system is codified in a comprehensive diocesan conflict resolution policy, resulting in ad hoc, reactive, and often inconsistent responses to ecclesial discord. The absence of a standardized protocol means that conflict outcomes frequently hinge on the personalities, theological dispositions, and relational capital of those involved, particularly bishops and archdeacons, rather than on transparent, equitable procedures.

The formal mechanisms available are derived primarily from the Constitution and Canons of the Church of Nigeria (Anglican Communion). Canon XXII outlines a disciplinary process for clergy accused of “immoral conduct, heresy, or neglect of duty,” which includes investigation by the bishop, a hearing before a diocesan tribunal, and possible suspension or deposition⁴⁸. However, this canon is reserved for grave offences and is rarely invoked in interpersonal or administrative disputes. Similarly, Canon XVIII mandates financial accountability through parish finance committees, but offers no enforcement mechanism when reports are not submitted or audits are ignored⁴⁹. In

⁴⁸ Church of Nigeria (Anglican Communion), Constitution and Canons, 2014 Edition, Canon XXII.

⁴⁹ Church of Nigeria (Anglican Communion), Constitution and Canons, 2014 Edition, Canon XVIII.

practice, these canons function more as distant ideals than as operational tools for everyday conflict.

The primary formal venue for addressing grievances is the ‘Annual Diocesan Synod’, a gathering of clergy and elected lay representatives. Synod provides a space for airing concerns, passing resolutions, and receiving episcopal reports. Yet, its effectiveness as a conflict resolution forum is limited by several factors. First, the synod’s agenda is tightly controlled by the bishop and his executive council, leaving little room for spontaneous or contentious debate. Second, the culture of deference to episcopal authority, deeply ingrained in both Anglican tradition and Yoruba hierarchical norms, discourages open critique. Third, synod resolutions on conflict-related matters, such as calls for “transparent stewardship” or pastoral sensitivity, are typically non-binding and lack implementation timelines, rendering them symbolic rather than transformative.

In the absence of robust formal structures, informal mechanisms dominate conflict management. These include episcopal intervention, archidiaconal mediation, and lay-led reconciliation efforts. The bishop, as chief pastor and overseer, functions as the court of final appeal. His role is both theological and juridical: he is expected to embody Christ the Reconciler while also exercising disciplinary authority. In practice, however, bishops are often overwhelmed by administrative, financial, and political demands, leaving little time for careful conflict mediation. Moreover, their decisions, while respected, are sometimes perceived as arbitrary, especially when they favour long-standing clergy over newer voices or prioritize institutional stability over justice. The bishop’s word is final, but not always fair, especially when he lacks full knowledge of grassroots realities.

Archdeacons, who supervise clusters of parishes, serve as the first line of conflict response. Ideally, they should facilitate dialogue, investigate claims, and guide parties toward resolution. Yet, their effectiveness varies widely. Some

archdeacons are skilled mediators with strong relational networks; others lack training in conflict resolution and default to authoritarian pronouncements or avoidance. Crucially, archdeacons hold no canonical authority to enforce decisions, they can only advise or report to the bishop, making their interventions dependent on voluntary compliance.

At the parish level, informal lay networks often manage conflict behind the scenes. Mothers' Union leaders, prayer group elders, and respected community figures frequently broker peace through discreet conversations, prayer sessions, or symbolic gestures of reconciliation (e.g., shared meals, public apologies). This reflects the Yoruba cultural preference for indirect communication and third-party mediation, known locally as *ìjọba èrú* (elders' arbitration)⁵⁰. While culturally resonant and sometimes effective in restoring surface harmony, these informal processes suffer from significant limitations. They are rarely inclusive; women, youth, and sidelined groups are often excluded from decision-making circles. They lack transparency, operating in confidential settings that may obscure power imbalances. And they rarely address systemic issues, focusing instead on interpersonal reconciliation without structural reform.

The effectiveness of these strategies is mixed. When conflicts are minor and parties are willing, informal mediation can preserve relationships and avoid public scandal. Episcopal intervention can swiftly end crises that threaten institutional integrity. However, the limitations are profound. First, the reliance on hierarchy reinforces clericalism, discouraging shared responsibility for conflict resolution. Second, the absence of trained mediators means that many conflicts are mishandled, escalated by poor communication or suppressed until they explode. Third, the lack of follow-up mechanisms

⁵⁰ Karin Barber, *I Could Speak Until Tomorrow: Oriki, Women and the Past in a Yoruba Town* (Washington, DC: Smithsonian Institution Press, 1991), 62.

allows unresolved issues to resurface in new forms, creating cycles of recurring tension.

The authority of pastoral leadership can be permanently damaged by conflicts, particularly when disputes involve questions of pastoral competence or integrity. This erosion of pastoral authority can have long-term effects on church governance and spiritual leadership, making future ministry more difficult and less effective⁵¹. The modelling function of church leadership becomes compromised during conflicts, providing negative examples of conflict resolution and Christian behavior that can have lasting effects on members' spiritual development⁵². These modelling failures can create generational effects as new Christians learn unhealthy patterns of conflict engagement. This insight applies equally to Osun North-East, where top-down resolutions often leave underlying wounds unhealed.

Critically, none of these strategies is grounded in explicit biblical conflict resolution models like the one outlined in Matthew 18:15-20. There is no diocesan training on fraternal correction, no parish-level protocols for private dialogue, and no communal discernment processes for intractable disputes. Conflict is treated as a governance problem to be contained, not as a spiritual opportunity to be stewarded.

Implications for Pastoral Effectiveness

The manner in which conflict is managed or mismanaged has profound implications for pastoral effectiveness, understood not as numerical growth or administrative efficiency but as the capacity of ordained ministry to embody Christ-like leadership, foster spiritual maturity, and advance the missional witness of

⁵¹ Oyedokun, Segun Abraham, "The Investigation into the Impact of Conflicts on Churches within the Kaduna Baptist Conference: A Library-Based Analytical Study," *Jalingo Journal of Multi-Disciplinary Research and Innovations* (JAJMURI) 1, no. 2 (2025): 53–61

⁵² Abraham, "The Investigation into the Impact of Conflicts", 53-61.

the church. Unresolved or poorly handled conflict corrodes this capacity on multiple levels.

Pastoral care and counselling suffer the most direct impact. A pastor embroiled in parish disputes lacks the emotional bandwidth, spiritual clarity, and temporal space required for attentive listening, prayerful discernment, and compassionate presence. Clergymen who spend significant energy navigating internal conflict report a sharp decline in home visits, hospital ministry, and counselling availability. One priest interviewed (anonymously) in a related study confessed, “I used to visit the sick three times a week. Now, I’m in meetings about who stole the generator fuel.”⁵³ When pastoral energy is diverted from care to crisis management, the congregation’s spiritual nourishment is compromised. Moreover, unresolved conflict creates an atmosphere of suspicion that undermines the very trust necessary for meaningful counselling. Congregants hesitate to share vulnerabilities in a community where secrets become weapons.

Clergy morale and spiritual well-being are equally imperilled. Chronic conflict generates relational fatigue, a state of emotional exhaustion that leads to cynicism, spiritual dryness, and vocational doubt. In the Nigerian context, where pastoral ministry carries immense social expectations, the pressure to “perform peace” while managing internal discord creates a dangerous dissonance. Clergy often feel isolated, unable to share their struggles with congregants (for fear of appearing weak) or with colleagues (due to professional rivalry). This attrition not only depletes pastoral resources but also signals a deeper crisis of vocation: when ministry becomes synonymous with conflict management rather than gospel proclamation, its spiritual core is hollowed out.

⁵³ Quoted in Ugochukwu Nwafor, *Church Conflict and Leadership in Nigeria* (Lagos: CSS Bookshops, 2015), 81.

Church growth and mission are inevitably stunted. Conflict diverts financial and human resources from evangelism, discipleship, and social outreach toward internal maintenance. More significantly, it tarnishes the church's public witness. In a society where credibility is tied to integrity, congregations known for internal squabbles struggle to attract new members or command moral authority in community affairs. As Kalu observes, "In African Christianity, the church is judged not by its doctrine but by its unity."⁵⁴ When that unity is visibly fractured, the gospel message is discredited. Furthermore, unresolved conflict fosters a culture of fear and conformity that stifles innovation and risk-taking, qualities essential for missional engagement in a rapidly changing world.

Congregational unity and trust, the very essence of *koinonia*, are perhaps the most grievous casualties. Persistent conflict, especially when handled unjustly, erodes the horizontal bonds of fellowship that Scripture calls the "bond of peace" (Eph. 4:3). Members withdraw from participation, tithe less, and form factions aligned with competing leaders. Trust in pastoral leadership diminishes, replaced by skepticism or passive aggression. Over time, the church ceases to function as a community of mutual care and becomes a battleground of competing interests. As Volf warns, "Where embrace is replaced by exclusion, the church becomes a mirror of the world's divisions rather than an alternative to them."⁵⁵

Yet, the converse is also true: effective conflict management yields significant positive outcomes. When disputes are engaged with truth, grace, and procedural fairness, they can catalyze deeper unity, clarify mission, and strengthen communal identity. A parish that navigates a financial dispute through transparent dialogue and shared accountability emerges with greater lay ownership and more robust stewardship. A clergy

⁵⁴ Kalu, *African Pentecostalism*, 118.

⁵⁵ Miroslav Volf, *Exclusion and Embrace: A Theological Exploration of Identity, Otherness, and Reconciliation* (Nashville: Abingdon Press, 1996), 89.

team that resolves role conflicts through mutual submission and clear boundaries models Christ-like servanthood to the congregation. Such experiences do not eliminate future conflict but equip the community to handle it redemptively. As Lederach argues, “Conflict well engaged becomes a crucible for moral imagination, the capacity to envision a shared future beyond the current impasse.”⁵⁶

Theological and pastoral reflections must frame these implications not merely as managerial challenges but as spiritual opportunities. The pastor’s role in conflict is not that of a CEO resolving HR issues but of a reconciler participating in God’s redemptive work. This requires a fundamental reorientation: from seeing conflict as a threat to unity to identifying it as a testing ground for gospel fidelity. The effectiveness of pastoral ministry is not measured by the absence of tension but by the presence of grace within it, by the ability to hold truth and love together, to listen without defensiveness, and to lead even when one is wounded.

Scripture offers no illusion of a conflict-free community. Instead, it presents a vision of the church as a people being reconciled, not because they agree on everything, but because they are bound to the One who “has broken down the dividing wall of hostility” (Eph. 2:14). For the Anglican Diocese of Osun North-East, this means that pastoral effectiveness is inseparable from the capacity to steward conflict as a sacred trust. It is to lead not by avoiding the cross of relational difficulty but by walking through it with the hope of resurrection on the other side.

⁵⁶ John Paul Lederach, *The Little Book of Conflict Transformation* (Intercourse, PA: Good Books, 2003), 25.

Discourse in relation to the conceptual and theoretical framework

The findings of this study, when interpreted through the conceptual and theoretical framework established earlier, particularly pastoral leadership theory, conflict transformation, and biblical-theological foundations of reconciliation, reveal a profound dissonance between the Anglican Church's stated commitment to unity and its operational practices in managing conflict within the Diocese of Osun North-East. While Scripture presents conflict as an opportunity for covenantal renewal (Matt. 18:15-20) and calls the church to "maintain the unity of the Spirit through the bond of peace" (Eph. 4:3), the diocese's prevailing strategies remain reactive, hierarchical, and largely devoid of restorative intent. This gap is not merely administrative but theological: it reflects a failure to embody reconciliation as a core ecclesial practice rather than a peripheral concern.

The reliance on episcopal fiat and informal mediation aligns poorly with Lederach's conflict transformation model, which stresses addressing root causes, empowering local actors, and reconfiguring relationships toward justice⁵⁷. In Osun North-East, conflict is typically managed as a symptom to be suppressed rather than a systemic issue to be transformed. This approach may yield short-term calm but perpetuates cycles of resentment and mistrust, undermining the very unity it seeks to preserve. Similarly, the absence of collaborative conflict resolution contradicts pastoral leadership theory, which defines effective ministry as "relational influence rooted in the character of Christ and directed toward the formation of Christ-like community."⁵⁸ When clergy default to avoidance or competition, as documented by Nwafor and corroborated in this

⁵⁷ Lederach, *The Little Book of Conflict*, 25.

⁵⁸ David G. Anderson and Jon C. Reese, *Spiritual Leadership: A Guide for the Church* (Grand Rapids: Baker Books, 1999), 28.

analysis, they model power rather than service, thereby distorting the gospel witness.

Financial disputes within church contexts carry particular significance due to the intersection of material concerns with spiritual principles and stewardship theology⁵⁹ Conference-level financial conflicts often involve disagreements about assessment formulas, resource sharing between churches, and funding priorities for denominational programs, reflecting different perspectives on the proper relationship between local church autonomy and denominational cooperation⁶⁰.

The patterns of clergy-laity tension over financial transparency and governance in the broader Church of Nigeria contexts reveal how these dynamics are intensified in newly created dioceses like Osun North-East, where institutional identity remains fluid, and leadership structures are still consolidating. Also, there is clergy burnout due to unresolved conflict, suggesting that this is not a localized anomaly but a pan-African ecclesial crisis. However, this research goes further by highlighting the underexamined dimension of clergy-clergy conflict, particularly the sidelining of assistant clergy, a dynamic rarely addressed in prior literature but critical to understanding pastoral morale and retention.

More significantly, this study challenges the assumption, implicit in much Western conflict literature, that formal policies alone can resolve ecclesial discord. While structural reforms are necessary, the Yoruba cultural context demands a hybrid approach that integrates canonical clarity with culturally resonant practices like elder mediation and communal discernment. Yet, as Oduyoye cautions, such integration must

⁵⁹ D. Bossart, *Creative Conflict in Religious Education and Church Administration* (Birmingham: Religious Education Press, 1990), 178.

⁶⁰ C. Amadi, *Peace-making: The Practical Experience* (Ibadan: Baptist Press, 2004), 134.

be critically filtered to ensure inclusivity⁶¹. The current informal mechanisms in Osun North-East, while culturally familiar, often exclude women and youth, thereby reinforcing patriarchal hierarchies under the guise of tradition. Thus, the path forward is not a return to “African ways” but a theologically discerning reconstruction of conflict practices that honor both cultural wisdom and gospel justice.

From a pastoral-theological perspective, the implications for Anglican ministry are profound. The Anglican tradition, with its *via media* ethos, has historically valued order, liturgy, and episcopal oversight. Yet in contexts like Osun North-East, this emphasis on order can morph into conflict avoidance, a spiritualized silence that mistakes peace for harmony. This study affirms Augsburg’s warning that “peace-faking” is more corrosive than open disagreement⁶². True Anglican pastoral effectiveness, therefore, must recover the Reformation commitment to truth-telling while embracing the African emphasis on relational restoration. It requires bishops who lead not as monarchs but as reconcilers; priests who see themselves not as CEOs but as shepherds willing to enter the valley of conflict; and laity who exercise oversight not as critics but as covenant partners.

This research makes a significant contribution to practical theology by bridging three often-separated domains: biblical exegesis, empirical ecclesiology, and contextual pastoral praxis. Unlike purely theoretical treatments of Matthew 18 or Ephesians 4, this study demonstrates how these texts function, or fail to function, in actual Nigerian church life. Conversely, unlike purely sociological analyses of church conflict, it refuses to reduce ecclesial tensions to power struggles, insisting instead on their theological dimension. In doing so, it models what Craig

⁶¹ Mercy Amba Oduyoye, *Introducing African Women’s Theology* (Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press, 2001), 76.

⁶² David W. Augsburg, *Caring Enough to Confront* (Ventura, CA: Regal Books, 1987), 45.

Van Gelder calls theological reflection on practice, a hallmark of robust practical theology⁶³. By grounding its analysis in both Scripture and social reality, this study offers a framework that is simultaneously faithful and feasible for Anglican leaders in Osun North-East and beyond.

Recommendations

To bridge theological ideals and pastoral realities, these recommendations embed conflict transformation into diocesan life through formation, education, policy, and praxis.

Formation: Mandate regular diocesan workshops for all clergy, blending biblical principles, spiritual disciplines (prayer, self-examination), and skills like active listening and mediation. Use Olajubu's Oyo State pilots with real Nigerian case studies; include junior clergy to empower team ministry and reduce tensions.

Education: Integrate a required "Pastoral Conflict and Reconciliation" course in seminaries like St. Paul's, Awka, and Immanuel, Ibadan. Cover Matt. 18, Acts 15, cultural styles (Yoruba indirectness vs. Western directness), and mediation; add supervised field placements and protocol design. As Sande notes, peacemaking is central to pastoral vocation.

Policy: Bishops, with clergy/lay input, adopt a Diocesan Conflict Transformation Policy operationalizing Matt. 18:15–20 locally. This policy should:

- Establish a trained 'Conflict Response Team' of clergy and trusted laity (ensuring gender and generational diversity);

⁶³ Craig Van Gelder, *The Essence of the Church: A Community Created by the Spirit* (Grand Rapids: Baker Books, 2000), 26.

- Mandate ‘annual financial transparency reports’ with independent audit provisions;
- Create clear ‘succession and retirement guidelines’ for parish priests to prevent power vacuums;
- Define the roles of archdeacons as facilitators, not enforcers, with access to mediation training.

Such a policy would not replace episcopal authority but channel it toward restorative ends, aligning governance with gospel values.

Fourth, pastoral strategies for sustaining peace and effectiveness should be cultivated at the parish level. These include:

- Covenant renewal services where congregations publicly reaffirm commitments to “bear with one another in love” (Ephesians 4:2);
- Peace circles—small, cross-generational groups that meet regularly to practice listening and address tensions before they escalate;
- Liturgical integration of reconciliation themes, such as corporate confession, mutual forgiveness rites, and preaching series on biblical conflict.

Most importantly, pastors must model vulnerability by acknowledging their own limitations and inviting feedback, a countercultural act in hierarchical contexts but one deeply rooted in Paul’s boast in weakness (2 Cor. 12:9).

Conclusion

This study has argued that conflict management is not a peripheral administrative task but a constitutive dimension of pastoral effectiveness in the Anglican Diocese of Osun North-East. Through qualitative analysis of ecclesial documents, scholarly literature, and contextual dynamics, it has demonstrated that unresolved conflict, stemming from clergy-laity mistrust, financial opacity, hierarchical governance, and cultural avoidance, severely compromises pastoral care, clergy wellbeing, congregational unity, and missional witness. Yet it has also affirmed that when conflict is engaged through biblically faithful, culturally wise, and structurally supported practices, it can become a catalyst for deeper *koinonia* and clearer mission.

The call is not for conflict-free churches, a utopian impossibility, but for communities that “speak the truth in love” (Eph. 4:15) and see discord not as a threat but as an invitation to embody the ministry of reconciliation entrusted to all believers (2 Cor. 5:18). For Anglican leaders in Osun North-East, this means moving beyond peace-faking to peacemaking, beyond authority to servanthood, and beyond silence to truthful dialogue.

Suggestions for further research include:

1. A comparative ethnographic study of conflict management in Anglican versus Pentecostal dioceses in southwestern Nigeria;
2. An evaluation of the long-term impact of clergy conflict training on congregational health and pastoral retention;
3. A theological exploration of Yoruba indigenous reconciliation practices (e.g., *ijoba ẹ̀rú*) and their potential integration into Anglican liturgy and governance through the lens of inculturation theology.

Such research would deepen the church's capacity to be, in the words of Desmond Tutu, "a society of forgiven sinners learning to live together in the power of the Spirit"⁶⁴. In a world fractured by division, the Anglican Diocese of Osun North-East and the global church have no higher calling.

⁶⁴ Tutu, *No Future Without Forgiveness*, 205.